


A GIS ANALYSIS of SDC DISTRICTS & CLIENTS

Social Development Commission – Policy & Research Division
Nonprofit Center of Milwaukee

SDC Client Households (2009)



Content:

- ▶ Overview (p. 2)
- ▶ SDC Clients (p. 5)
- ▶ SDC Program Locations (p. 6)
- ▶ District I Profile (p. 8)
- ▶ District II Profile (p. 14)
- ▶ District III Profile (p. 20)
- ▶ District IV Profile (p. 26)
- ▶ District V Profile (p. 32)
- ▶ District VI Profile (p. 38)
- ▶ Methodology (p. 44)
- ▶ Future Considerations (p. 45)
- ▶ CR-SDC Board (p. 46)



Because There's No **One Way**
To End Poverty

October 2010

Report Prepared By:
Steve Schultz
Policy Analyst

OVERVIEW

In the spring of 2010, the Community Relations - Social Development Commission (SDC) held an election for each of its six district Board of Commission seats. Shortly thereafter, six new SDC commissioners were sworn in and are now serving their respective constituencies. To provide the newly elected commissioners with information about their districts and about the SDC's involvement in those districts, the SDC's Policy & Research Division conducted an analysis of SDC district client demographics and population characteristics. To make this possible, the SDC partnered with the Nonprofit Center of Milwaukee, who performed a GIS analysis of the SDC's six districts.

The Nonprofit Center of Milwaukee's involvement in this project was made necessary because the SDC's district boundaries are unique to the SDC and do not follow any other established or recognized boundaries. In theory, the SDC's district boundaries are drawn to create six geographical units with equal concentrations of low-income individuals. Historically, this division has been done using decennial U.S. Census Bureau data. The last set of district boundaries were drawn in 2004 by the Nonprofit Center of Milwaukee using data from 2000 Census. Thus, the Nonprofit Center of Milwaukee already had the data and also the GIS capability of creating the type of maps and data manipulations necessary for the current project.

The Policy & Research Division's role was to provide the Nonprofit Center of Milwaukee with SDC client data retrieved through a number of databases including Adsystem, WHEAP, and WisWAP. The Nonprofit Center of Milwaukee then took this data and created maps and tables of SDC districts and clients. With the SDC district boundary files in hand and an expertise at manipulating all type of data sets, the Nonprofit Center of Milwaukee was also able to segregate U.S. Census Bureau data and create tables of this data for each of the SDC's six districts. The results of these various data extractions are found in the pages to follow.

This report presents a visual and empirical presentation of,

- ▶ SDC Program Clients by District;
- ▶ SDC Site Locations by District; and
- ▶ Six Individual SDC District Profiles Containing U.S. Census Bureau Data from Census 2000.

Some brief observations will be made in each of the six district profiles in order to present unique and significant attributes of the districts. It is hoped that the information contained here will provide the new commissioners with the ability to better understand their constituents and to also better serve them.

The table below identifies the number of clients in each SDC program by SDC district.

SDC Program Clients by SDC District


	District I	District II	District III	District IV	District V	District VI	
ATODA Counseling	33	39	29	28	25	20	
Basic Skills	35	57	27	32	4	9	
BEST	35	37	23	50	8	9	
CJIP	34	46	42	32	12	17	
CLFC	81	105	55	58	15	27	
Energy	10,456	11,062	6,537	5,965	7,249	9,215	
Family Support	20	26	30	24	19	18	
FIRST	11	17	8	14	4	9	
GED Testing	45	54	30	50	28	39	
Head Start	427	336	140	204	234	496	
Healthy Relationships	39	58	36	34	39	27	
HSED	17	22	18	15	9	5	
NIP	1	0	1	0	23	3	
Senior Companion	55	66	35	58	25	67	
Skills Enhancement	34	12	15	8	0	4	
STRIVE	4	2	0	9	0	3	
Transitional Living	1	6	0	1	13	11	
VITA	1,459	1,736	834	1,106	1,244	1,250	
Ways to Work	40	34	19	20	7	13	
Weatherization	125	299	135	76	105	69	
Welcome Center	29	46	23	50	3	2	
WRAP	0	0	0	0	11	0	
YEP	12	32	16	16	4	3	
Youth Development	371	455	282	251	440	284	
TOTAL	13,364	14,547	8,335	8,101	9,521	11,600	65,468
	(20%)	(22%)	(13%)	(12%)	(15%)	(18%)	(100%)

The following three illustrations depict the SDC's client population in each of the SDC's districts in a visual form.


SDC Program Clients by District - 2009 Program Year

District I	District II	District III	District IV	District V	District VI
13,364	14,547	8,335	8,101	9,521	11,600

SDC Program Clients by SDC District - 2009 Program Year




SDC Program Clients by SDC District - 2009 Program Year



The key observation behind this brief overview is that the SDC's Energy Assistance Program drives the SDC's client numbers and subsequent district numbers. In fact, Energy clients make up 77% (50,484/65,468) of the SDC's client population.

The following two maps (“SDC Clients” & “SDC Program Locations”) depict the spatial distribution of SDC program clients in relation to SDC district boundaries and also the location of SDC program sites in relation to SDC district boundaries.


SDC Clients



Non Profit Center of Milwaukee - 2010

(Note: Each dot represents one client.)

SDC Program Locations




SDC District	SDC Sites	General Population	Individuals Below Poverty	SDC Clients
District I	4	224,202	24,223	13,364
District II	3	112,431	20,642	14,547
District III	1	67,307	24,470	8,335
District IV	2	94,702	25,088	8,101
District V	1	77,110	22,545	9,521
District VI	4	364,412	22,779	11,600

Please Note: Four SDC sites sit on district boundaries (I & IV, III & IV, V & VI, V & VI).


The map and table on this page show that the SDC has service sites located in each of the SDC's six districts. At first glance, it may appear that Districts III & V are underrepresented in this regard. This is somewhat mitigated by the fact that three of the four overlapping sites border these districts. It should also be noted that these two districts have lower client totals. Overall, it appears that the SDC's site locations are proportional to its client concentrations. However, it is unclear as to whether site locations determine where clients come from or vice versa.

SDC DISTRICT PROFILES




DISTRICT I PROFILE

Commissioner Kristina McHenry



Because There's No **One Way**
To End Poverty

SDC District 1 All Clients




(Note: Each dot represents one client.)

SDC CLIENTS – 2009 PROGRAM YEAR = 13,364

U.S. Census Bureau Data – District I


2000 Population – Male/Female			
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>Total Population</i>	<i>Male</i>	<i>Female</i>
District I	224,202	104,193	120,009

District I Population - Gender



Educational Attainment					
Number of Persons 25 Years & Over					
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>Persons 25 Years & Over</i>	<i>No High School Diploma</i>	<i>High School Graduate</i>	<i>Some College or Associate Degree</i>	<i>Bachelor's Degree or More College</i>
District I	145,750	19,303	35,498	38,663	52,286

Educational Attainment - District I



Poverty Status by Black			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District I	57,090	15,035	26.3%

Poverty Status by Asian			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District I	5,155	774	15.0%

Poverty Status by Latino			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District I	6,020	743	12.3%

Poverty Status by Non-Hispanic White			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District I	143,062	6,495	4.5%

* Base Population includes all persons for whom poverty status is calculated.


SDC District Populations			
SDC District	General Population	Individuals Below Poverty	SDC Clients
<i>District I</i>	<i>224,202</i>	<i>24,223</i>	<i>13,364</i>
District II	112,431	20,642	14,547
District III	67,307	24,470	8,335
District IV	94,702	25,088	8,101
District V	77,110	22,545	9,521
District VI	364,412	22,779	11,600
TOTAL	940,164	139,747	65,468

Poverty Status Within Age Groups												
Number and Percent of Poor Within Age Groups												
	0-5			6-17			18-64			65 +		
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>Number of Individuals</i>	<i>Individuals Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>% Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Number of Individuals</i>	<i>Individuals Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>% Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Number of Individuals</i>	<i>Individuals Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>% Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Number of Individuals</i>	<i>Individuals Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>% Below Poverty Level</i>
District I	19,664	3,983	20.3%	40,703	6,865	16.9%	129,176	11,523	8.9%	29,819	1,852	6.2%

Poverty Status						
Families and Children						
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>All Families</i>	<i>Families Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Families With Related Children Under 18</i>	<i>Families With Related Children Under 18 and Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Families With Related Children Under 5</i>	<i>Families With Related Children Under 5 and Below Poverty Level</i>
District I	58,238	5,089	31,479	4,405	6,094	854

Poverty Status of SDC Districts			
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>Base Population*</i>	<i>Individuals Below Poverty</i>	<i>Percent of Individuals Below Poverty</i>
<i>District I</i>	219,362	24,223	11.0%
District II	110,731	20,642	18.6%
District III	63,662	24,470	38.4%
District IV	87,403	25,088	28.7%
District V	76,296	22,545	29.5%
District VI	358,234	22,779	6.4%

*Base Population includes all persons for whom poverty status is calculated.




« « « District I Summary Facts » » »

- » District I consists of 224,202 people, which makes it the second largest next to District VI in terms of general population.
- » District I consists of 24% (224,202 individuals) of Milwaukee County's general population (940,164 individuals).
- » District I consists of 9 municipalities (City of Milwaukee, Brown Deer, River Hills, Bayside, Fox Point, Glendale, Whitefish Bay, Shorewood, Wauwatosa). District VI is the only other district with more than one municipality.
- » District I is the most educated district with 35.9% (52,286) of its residents possessing a Bachelor's degree or more college.
- » In terms of poverty status, District I's poverty population of 22,223 individuals ranks 3rd out of the six SDC districts and its poverty rate of 11% ranks 5th.
- » District I consists of the most families in poverty (5,084).
- » District I also consists of the most families with related children under 18 in poverty (4,405 families).
- » District I consists of the second highest number of non-Hispanic White individuals in poverty (6,495) behind SDC District VI.
- » In the 2009 program year, the SDC served 13,364 individuals in District I, which ranks 2nd when compared to the other five SDC districts. This represents 6% of the total population (224,202 individuals) in this district.
- » The SDC's client population in District I is heavily concentrated along Hampton Avenue and west of Teutonia Avenue.

DISTRICT II PROFILE
Commissioner Virgie Wynn



Because There's No **One Way**
To End Poverty



SDC District 2 All Clients




(Note: Each dot represents one client.)

SDC CLIENTS – 2009 PROGRAM YEAR = 14,547

U.S. Census Bureau Profile – District II


2000 Population – Male/Female			
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>Total Population</i>	<i>Male</i>	<i>Female</i>
District II	112,431	50,579	61,852

District II Population - Gender



Educational Attainment					
Number of Persons 25 Years & Over					
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>Persons 25 Years & Over</i>	<i>No High School Diploma</i>	<i>High School Graduate</i>	<i>Some College or Associate Degree</i>	<i>Bachelor's Degree or More College</i>
District II	67,283	15,259	21,364	20,059	10,601

Educational Attainment - District II



Poverty Status by Black			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District II	72,895	18,469	25.3%

Poverty Status by Asian			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District II	1,903	185	9.7%

Poverty Status by Latino			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District II	2,749	396	14.4%

Poverty Status by Non-Hispanic White			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District II	35,184	2,163	6.1%

* Base Population includes all persons for whom poverty status is calculated.


SDC District Populations			
SDC District	General Population	Individuals Below Poverty	SDC Clients
District I	224,202	24,223	13,364
<i>District II</i>	<i>112,431</i>	<i>20,642</i>	<i>14,547</i>
District III	67,307	24,470	8,335
District IV	94,702	25,088	8,101
District V	77,110	22,545	9,521
District VI	364,412	22,779	11,600
TOTAL	940,164	139,747	65,468

Poverty Status Within Age Groups												
Number and Percent of Poor Within Age Groups												
	0-5			6-17			18-64			65 +		
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>Number of Individuals</i>	<i>Individuals Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>% Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Number of Individuals</i>	<i>Individuals Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>% Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Number of Individuals</i>	<i>Individuals Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>% Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Number of Individuals</i>	<i>Individuals Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>% Below Poverty Level</i>
District II	10,488	3,094	29.5%	23,721	6,642	28.0%	64,616	9,741	15.1%	11,906	1,165	9.8%

Poverty Status						
Families and Children						
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>All Families</i>	<i>Families Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Families With Related Children Under 18</i>	<i>Families With Related Children Under 18 and Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Families With Related Children Under 5</i>	<i>Families With Related Children Under 5 and Below Poverty Level</i>
District II	28,453	4,551	17,271	3,985	3,002	680

Poverty Status of SDC Districts			
SDC District	Base Population*	Individuals Below Poverty	Percent of Individuals Below Poverty
District I	219,362	24,223	11.0%
District II	110,731	20,642	18.6%
District III	63,662	24,470	38.4%
District IV	87,403	25,088	28.7%
District V	76,296	22,545	29.5%
District VI	358,234	22,779	6.4%


*Base Population includes all persons for whom poverty status is calculated.



« « « District II Summary Facts » » »


- » District II has the 3rd largest total population (112,431) of the six SDC districts.
- » District II has the highest discrepancy between the number of males and females (45% : 55%).
- » In terms of poverty status, District II's poverty population of 20,642 individuals ranks 6th out of the six SDC districts and its poverty rate of 18.6% ranks 4th.
- » District II consists of the second most families in poverty (4,551), which is second behind District I.
- » District II consists of the 2nd highest number of Blacks/African-Americans in poverty (18,469) behind District III.
- » In the 2009 program year, the SDC served 14,547 individuals in District II, which ranks 1st when compared to the other five SDC districts. This represents 13% of the total population (112,431) in this district.

DISTRICT III PROFILE
Commissioner Gail Winston



Because There's No **One Way**
To End Poverty

SDC District 3 All Clients




(Note: Each dot represents one client.)

SDC CLIENTS – 2009 PROGRAM YEAR = 8,335

U.S. Census Bureau Profile – District III


2000 Population – Male/Female			
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>Total Population</i>	<i>Male</i>	<i>Female</i>
District III	67,307	31,704	35,603

District III Population - Gender



Educational Attainment					
Number of Persons 25 Years & Over					
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>Persons 25 Years & Over</i>	<i>No High School Diploma</i>	<i>High School Graduate</i>	<i>Some College or Associate Degree</i>	<i>Bachelor's Degree or More College</i>
District III	31,581	11,296	8,089	7,516	4,680

Educational Attainment - District III



Poverty Status by Black			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District III	44,245	19,622	44.3%

Poverty Status by Asian			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District III	3,813	1,398	36.7%

Poverty Status by Latino			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District III	2,924	1,031	35.3%

Poverty Status by Non-Hispanic White			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District III	12,984	2,890	22.3%

* Base Population includes all persons for whom poverty status is calculated.

SDC District Populations			
SDC District	General Population	Individuals Below Poverty	SDC Clients
<i>District I</i>	224,202	24,223	13,364
District II	112,431	20,642	14,547
District III	67,307	24,470	8,335
District IV	94,702	25,088	8,101
District V	77,110	22,545	9,521
District VI	364,412	22,779	11,600
TOTAL	940,164	139,747	65,468

Poverty Status Within Age Groups

Number and Percent of Poor Within Age Groups

SDC District	0-5			6-17			18-64			65 +		
	Number of Individuals	Individuals Below Poverty Level	% Below Poverty Level	Number of Individuals	Individuals Below Poverty Level	% Below Poverty Level	Number of Individuals	Individuals Below Poverty Level	% Below Poverty Level	Number of Individuals	Individuals Below Poverty Level	% Below Poverty Level
District III	7,541	3,818	50.6%	15,800	7,222	45.7%	36,784	12,629	34.3%	3,537	801	22.6%

Poverty Status

Families and Children


SDC District	All Families	Families Below Poverty Level	Families With Related Children Under 18	Families With Related Children Under 18 and Below Poverty Level	Families With Related Children Under 5	Families With Related Children Under 5 and Below Poverty Level
District III	13,074	4,501	9,678	4,071	1,740	803

Poverty Status of SDC Districts

SDC District	Base Population*	Individuals Below Poverty	Percent of Individuals Below Poverty
District I	219,362	24,223	11.0%
District II	110,731	20,642	18.6%
District III	63,662	24,470	38.4%
District IV	87,403	25,088	28.7%
District V	76,296	22,545	29.5%
District VI	358,234	22,779	6.4%

*Base Population includes all persons for whom poverty status is calculated.


Percent of Individuals Below Poverty Per SDC District




« « « District III Summary Facts » » »

- » District III has the smallest number of residents at 67,307 when compared to the other six SDC districts and it is also the smallest in terms of geographic area.
- » District III is the 2nd least educated SDC district behind District V with 35.8% (11,296) of its residents possessing no high school diploma.
- » In terms of poverty status, District III's poverty population of 24,470 individuals ranks 2nd out of the six SDC districts behind District IV and its poverty rate of 38.4% makes it the highest of the districts. In fact, the poverty rate of District III is 9% higher than District V, which has the second highest poverty rate at 29.5%.
- » District III's poverty rate of 38.4% is a full 32% higher than the 6.4% poverty rate of District VI.
- » Out of the total number of 0-5 year-olds in District III (7,541), 50.6% (3,818) live in poverty. This ranks 2nd behind District IV.
- » Out of the total number of 6-17 year-olds in District III (15,800), 45.7% live in poverty. This ranks 2nd behind District IV.
- » Out of the total number of 18-64 year-olds in District III (36,784), 34.3% (12,629) live in poverty. This ranks first when compared to the other five districts.
- » Out of the total number of individuals 65 and older in District III (3,537), 22.6% (801) live in poverty. This ranks first when compared to the other five districts.
- » District III consists of the most Black/African-American individuals in poverty (19,622) when compared to the other five districts.
- » Out the total number of Blacks/African-Americans in District III (44,245), 44.3% (19,622) live in poverty, which ranks second behind District V.
- » District III consists of the most Asian individuals in poverty (1,398) when compared to the five other SDC districts. District III also ranks 1st (36.7%) in the poverty rate for Asian individuals when compared to the other five SDC districts.
- » Out of the total number Latino individuals in District III (2,924), 35.3% (1,031) live in poverty, which ranks 1st when compared to the other five SDC districts.
- » Out of the total number of non-Hispanic white individuals in District III (12,984), 22.3% (2,890) live in poverty, which ranks 1st when compared to the other five SDC districts.
- » In the 2009 program year, the SDC served 8,335 individuals in District III, which ranks 5th when compared to the other five SDC districts. This represents 12% of the total population (67,307) in this district.

DISTRICT IV PROFILE
Commissioner Karlton Jones



SDC District 4 All Clients




(Note: Each dot represents one client.)

SDC CLIENTS – 2009 PROGRAM YEAR = 8,101

U.S. Census Bureau Profile – District IV


2000 Population – Male/Female			
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>Total Population</i>	<i>Male</i>	<i>Female</i>
District IV	94,702	46,505	48,197

District IV Population - Gender



Educational Attainment					
Number of Persons 25 Years & Over					
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>Persons 25 Years & Over</i>	<i>No High School Diploma</i>	<i>High School Graduate</i>	<i>Some College or Associate Degree</i>	<i>Bachelor's Degree or More College</i>
District IV	55,544	12,109	11,333	13,065	19,037

Educational Attainment - District IV



Poverty Status by Age Black			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District IV	36,807	15,003	40.8%

Poverty Status by Age Asian			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District IV	1,808	392	21.7%

Poverty Status by Age Latino			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District IV	4,521	1,424	31.5%

Poverty Status by Age Non-Hispanic White			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District IV	39,075	6,171	15.8%

* Base Population includes all persons for whom poverty status is calculated.


SDC District Populations			
SDC District	General Population	Individuals Below Poverty	SDC Clients
District I	224,202	24,223	13,364
District II	112,431	20,642	14,547
District III	67,307	24,470	8,335
District IV	94,702	25,088	8,101
District V	77,110	22,545	9,521
District VI	364,412	22,779	11,600
TOTAL	940,164	139,747	65,468

Poverty Status Within Age Groups												
Number and Percent of Poor Within Age Groups												
	0-5			6-17			18-64			65 +		
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>Number of Individuals</i>	<i>Individuals Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>% Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Number of Individuals</i>	<i>Individuals Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>% Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Number of Individuals</i>	<i>Individuals Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>% Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Number of Individuals</i>	<i>Individuals Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>% Below Poverty Level</i>
District IV	6,477	3,294	50.9%	13,140	6,112	46.5%	59,393	14,321	24.1%	8,393	1,361	16.2%

Poverty Status						
Families and Children						
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>All Families</i>	<i>Families Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Families With Related Children Under 18</i>	<i>Families With Related Children Under 18 and Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Families With Related Children Under 5</i>	<i>Families With Related Children Under 5 and Below Poverty Level</i>
District IV	16,160	4,064	9,186	3,447	1,800	577

Poverty Status of SDC Districts			
SDC District	Base Population*	Individuals Below Poverty	Percent of Individuals Below Poverty
District I	219,362	24,223	11.0%
District II	110,731	20,642	18.6%
District III	63,662	24,470	38.4%
District IV	87,403	25,088	28.7%
District V	76,296	22,545	29.5%
District VI	358,234	22,779	6.4%

*Base Population includes all persons for whom poverty status is calculated.



« « « District IV Summary Facts » » »


- » District IV has the 3rd fewest number of individuals at 94,702.
- » District IV has the greatest balance between the number of males and females (49.1% : 50.9%).
- » District IV is the 2nd most educated SDC district behind District I with 34.3% (19,037) of its residents possessing a Bachelor's degree or more college.
- » In terms of poverty status, District IV's poverty population of 25,088 individuals ranks 1st when compared to the other five SDC districts and its poverty rate of 28.7% ranks 3rd.
- » District IV consists of the fewest number of families in poverty (4,064) when compared to the other five SDC districts.
- » Out of the total number of 0-5 year-olds in District IV (6,477), 50.9% (3,294) live in poverty. This ranks 1st when compared to the other five SDC districts.
- » Out of the total number of 6-17 year-olds in District IV (13,140), 46.5% (6,112) live in poverty. This ranks 1st when compared to the other five SDC districts.
- » Out of the total number of Asian individuals in District IV (1,808), 21.7% (392) live in poverty. This ranks 2nd behind District III.
- » In the 2009 program year, the SDC served 8,101 individuals in District IV, which ranks 6th when compared to the other five SDC districts. This represents 9% of the total population (94,702) in this district.
- » The SDC's client population in District IV is heavily concentrated along the I-43 corridor in the northwest part of the district.

DISTRICT V PROFILE


Commissioner Barbara Patterson



Because There's No **One Way**
To End Poverty



SDC District 5 All Clients




(Note: Each dot represents one client.)

SDC CLIENTS – 2009 PROGRAM YEAR = 9,521

U.S. Census Bureau Profile – District V


2000 Population – Male/Female			
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>Total Population</i>	<i>Male</i>	<i>Female</i>
District V	77,110	40,382	36,728

District V Population - Gender



Educational Attainment					
Number of Persons 25 Years & Over					
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>Persons 25 Years & Over</i>	<i>No High School Diploma</i>	<i>High School Graduate</i>	<i>Some College or Associate Degree</i>	<i>Bachelor's Degree or More College</i>
District V	40,832	19,565	11,588	6,997	2,682

Educational Attainment - District V



Poverty Status by Black			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District V	4,795	2,634	54.9%

Poverty Status by Asian			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District V	2,379	499	21.0%

Poverty Status by Latino			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District V	41,951	14,162	33.8%

Poverty Status by Non-Hispanic White			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District V	25,323	4,697	18.5%

* Base Population includes all persons for whom poverty status is calculated.


SDC District Populations			
SDC District	General Population	Individuals Below Poverty	SDC Clients
District I	224,202	24,223	13,364
District II	112,431	20,642	14,547
District III	67,307	24,470	8,335
District IV	94,702	25,088	8,101
<i>District V</i>	<i>77,110</i>	<i>22,545</i>	<i>9,521</i>
District VI	364,412	22,779	11,600
TOTAL	940,164	139,747	65,468

Poverty Status Within Age Groups												
Number and Percent of Poor Within Age Groups												
	0-5			6-17			18-64			65 +		
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>Number of Individuals</i>	<i>Individuals Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>% Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Number of Individuals</i>	<i>Individuals Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>% Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Number of Individuals</i>	<i>Individuals Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>% Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Number of Individuals</i>	<i>Individuals Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>% Below Poverty Level</i>
District V	8,944	3,558	39.8%	16,687	5,891	35.3%	45,222	12,005	26.5%	5,443	1,091	20.0%

Poverty Status						
Families and Children						
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>All Families</i>	<i>Families Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Families With Related Children Under 18</i>	<i>Families With Related Children Under 18 and Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Families With Related Children Under 5</i>	<i>Families With Related Children Under 5 and Below Poverty Level</i>
District V	16,123	4,177	11,063	3,684	2,310	908

Poverty Status of SDC Districts			
SDC District	Base Population*	Individuals Below Poverty	Percent of Individuals Below Poverty
District I	219,362	24,223	11.0%
District II	110,731	20,642	18.6%
District III	63,662	24,470	38.4%
District IV	87,403	25,088	28.7%
District V	76,296	22,545	29.5%
District VI	358,234	22,779	6.4%

*Base Population includes all persons for whom poverty status is calculated.




« « District V Summary Facts » » »


- » District V has the second fewest number of individual residents (77,110) when compared to the other five SDC districts and it is also the 2nd smallest in terms of geographic area.
- » District V is the only district where the number of males outnumber the females (40,382 : 36,728).
- » District V is the least educated SDC District with 47.9% (19,565) of its residents possessing no high school diploma.
- » In terms of poverty status, District V's poverty population of 22,545 individuals ranks 5th when compared to the other five SDC districts and its poverty rate of 29.5% ranks 2nd.
- » District V's population of families with related children under 5 and below poverty (908 families) is the most when compared to the other five SDC districts.
- » Out of the total number of 18-64 year-olds in District V (45,222), 26.5% (12,005) live in poverty. This ranks 2nd when compared to the other five SDC districts.
- » Out of the total number of individuals 65 and older in District V (5,443), 20.0% live in poverty. This ranks 2nd when compared to the other five SDC districts.
- » Out of the total number of Blacks/African-Americans in District V (4,795), 54.9% (2,634) live in poverty. This ranks 1st when compared to the other five SDC districts.
- » District V consists of the most Latino individuals who live in poverty (14,162) when compared to the other five SDC districts.
- » Out of the total number of Latino individuals in District V (45,951), 33.8% (14,162) live in poverty. This ranks 2nd when compared to the other five SDC districts.
- » Out of the total number of non-Hispanic White individuals in District V (25,323), 18.5% (4,697) live in poverty. This ranks second when compared to the other five SDC districts.
- » In the 2009 program year, the SDC served 9,521 individuals in District V, which ranks 4th when compared to the other five SDC districts. This represents 12% of the total population (77,110) in this district.

DISTRICT VI PROFILE

Commissioner Bruce Bultman



SDC District 6 All Clients



Non Profit Center of Milwaukee - 2010


(Note: Each dot represents one client.)

SDC CLIENTS – 2009 PROGRAM YEAR = 8,335

U.S. Census Bureau Profile – District VI


2000 Population – Male/Female			
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>Total Population</i>	<i>Male</i>	<i>Female</i>
District VI	364,412	176,762	187,650

District VI Population - Gender



Educational Attainment					
Number of Persons 25 Years & Over					
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>Persons 25 Years & Over</i>	<i>No High School Diploma</i>	<i>High School Graduate</i>	<i>Some College or Associate Degree</i>	<i>Bachelor's Degree or More College</i>
District VI	253,397	39,882	86,922	75,419	51,174

Educational Attainment - District VI



Poverty Status by Black			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District VI	6,236	2,031	32.6%

Poverty Status by Asian			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District VI	7,053	805	11.4%

Poverty Status by Latino			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District VI	23,001	3,415	14.8%

Poverty Status by Non-Hispanic White			
SDC District	Base Population*	Income Below Poverty	
District VI	31,3995	15,157	4.8%

* Base Population includes all persons for whom poverty status is calculated.


SDC District Populations			
SDC District	General Population	Individuals Below Poverty	SDC Clients
District I	224,202	24,223	13,364
District II	112,431	20,642	14,547
District III	67,307	24,470	8,335
District IV	94,702	25,088	8,101
District V	77,110	22,545	9,521
<i>District VI</i>	<i>364,412</i>	<i>22,779</i>	<i>11,600</i>
TOTAL	940,164	139,747	65,468

Poverty Status Within Age Groups												
Number and Percent of Poor Within Age Groups												
	0-5			6-17			18-64			65 +		
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>Number of Individuals</i>	<i>Individuals Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>% Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Number of Individuals</i>	<i>Individuals Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>% Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Number of Individuals</i>	<i>Individuals Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>% Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Number of Individuals</i>	<i>Individuals Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>% Below Poverty Level</i>
District VI	25,698	2,763	10.8%	53,226	4,142	7.8%	223,395	12,361	5.5%	55,915	3,513	6.3%

Poverty Status						
Families and Children						
<i>SDC District</i>	<i>All Families</i>	<i>Families Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Families With Related Children Under 18</i>	<i>Families With Related Children Under 18 and Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>Families With Related Children Under 5</i>	<i>Families With Related Children Under 5 and Below Poverty Level</i>
District VI	94,637	4,072	44,596	3,251	9,778	856

Poverty Status of SDC Districts			
SDC District	Base Population*	Individuals Below Poverty	Percent of Individuals Below Poverty
District I	219,362	24,223	11.0%
District II	110,731	20,642	18.6%
District III	63,662	24,470	38.4%
District IV	87,403	25,088	28.7%
District V	76,296	22,545	29.5%
District VI	358,234	22,779	6.4%

*Base Population includes all persons for whom poverty status is calculated.



« « « District VI Summary Facts » » »

- » District VI consists of the most individual residents at 364,412 when compared to the other five SDC districts and it is also the largest SDC district in terms of geographic area.
- » District VI consists of 39% (364,412 individuals) of Milwaukee County's total population (940,164).
- » District VI consists of 10 municipalities (West Allis, West Milwaukee, City of Milwaukee, Greenfield, Hales Corners, Greendale, Franklin, Oak Creek, South Milwaukee, Cudahy, St. Francis). District I is the only other SDC district with more than one municipality.
- » In terms of poverty status, District VI's poverty population of 22,779 individuals ranks 4th when compared to the other five SDC districts and its poverty rate of 6.4% ranks 6th.
- » District VI's poverty rate of 6.4% is a full 32% lower than District III's poverty rate of 38.4%.
- » District VI consists of the 2nd fewest families in poverty when compared to the other five SDC districts.
- » District VI consists of the 2nd highest number of Asian individuals in poverty (18,469) when compared to the other five SDC districts.
- » District VI consists of the 2nd highest number of Latino individuals in poverty (3,415) when compared to the other five SDC districts.
- » District VI consists of the highest number of non-Hispanic White individuals in poverty (15,157) when compared to the other five SDC districts.
- » In the 2009 program year, the SDC served 11,600 individuals in District VI, which ranks 3rd when compared to the other five SDC districts. This represents 3% of the total population (364,412) in this district.
- » The SDC's client population in District VI is concentrated mainly on the district's northern edge and also in the eastern suburbs.

METHODOLOGY

Demographic Statistics

The State, County, City, & SDC District demographic statistics contained in this report were derived from the U.S. Census Bureau's 2000 Census Long Form. The data was extracted and prepared by staff and student interns from the Nonprofit Center of Milwaukee.

Mapping

The client source data for this analysis comes from the following:

- ▶ Energy Program:
 - State of Wisconsin WHEAP Database
 - 10/1/08 – 9/30/09
 - 50,893 Total addresses

- ▶ Weatherization Program:
 - State of Wisconsin WisWAP Database
 - 1/1/09 – 12/31/09
 - 809 Total Addresses

- ▶ Head Start Program:
 - Adsystem Client Database
 - 8/1/09 – 7/31/10
 - 1,864 Total Addresses

- ▶ VITA Program:
 - Adsystem Client Database
 - 1/1/09 – 12/31/09
 - 7,894 Total Addresses

- ▶ All Other SDC Programs:
 - Adsystem Client Database
 - 1/1/09 – 12/31/09
 - 4,780 Total Addresses

A total of 66,240 client addresses were sent to the Nonprofit Center of Milwaukee for geo-coding. The geo-coding process eliminated a total of 772 client addresses yielding a total of 65,468 valid client addresses, which make up the source data for the mapping and resource analyses.

FUTURE CONSIDERATIONS

The preceding analysis has been a beginning to what will be a continued examination of SDC clients. While the data limitations are many, *A GIS Analysis of SDC Districts & Clients* serves as a useful starting point for elected SDC Commissioners wanting more information about their respective constituencies. This report should also be of value to SDC staff in that it geographically depicts where SDC clients are coming from, what programs they're utilizing, and what demographic attributes the SDC's service population possesses. With the recently completed 2010 Community Services Block Grant Needs Assessment (<http://www.cr-sdc.org/About/PolicyResearch/2010CSBGNeedsAssessment.htm>), the SDC is now more informed about who it is serving and what their needs are.

Like the needs assessment, the type of analysis presented here will need continued monitoring and updating. The central limitation of this report is that it uses Census 2000 data, which is now 10 years old. Census 2010 data should start to be released in the next few years and all indications (based primarily on American Community Survey data) are that the poverty situation in Milwaukee has gotten worse due to a recessionary economic climate when Census 2010 was being undertaken. As a direct result of this, the SDC's district boundaries may have to be re-drawn to take into account the changing geography of poverty in Milwaukee.

A second limitation of the current discussion is that the client data is less than 100% complete. With continued investment in data collection and monitoring, the SDC will surely improve both the quantity of data collected and the quality of data collected. As these processes develop, a more complete picture of SDC clients will emerge and subsequently be analyzed.

In the months and years ahead, the limitations found in this report will be less limiting and the data will be more robust. When this happens, the SDC's Policy & Research Division will surely undertake the type of analyses similar to the one presented here. One significant benefit to doing this type of research is that it can be replicated and analyzed to uncover changes and trends over time. As soon as this work is completed in the future, it will be made available to any and all interested parties.

CR-SDC BOARD OF COMMISSION

Fred Royal, Jr.
Chairperson
M.A.T.C

Jennifer Gonda
Vice Chairperson
City of Milwaukee

Michelle Nate
Treasurer
Milwaukee Public Schools

Annie Wacker
Secretary
AFL-CIO

Roy L. Williams
Milwaukee County

Don Cohen
Hispanic Chamber of Commerce

Reid Engstrom
United Way of Greater Milwaukee

Hattie Daniels-Rush
Interfaith Conference

Dr. Patricia Arredondo
UW-Milwaukee

John Busby
Greater Milwaukee Committee

Kristina McHenry
SDC District 1

Virgie Wynn
SDC District 2

Gail Winston
SDC District 3

Karlon Jones
SDC District 4

Barbara Patterson
SDC District 5

Bruce Bultman
SDC District 6



Because There's No **One Way**
To End Poverty